1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE I	INITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JULIUS LEE JACKSON, No. C 12-0123 WHA (PR)

> Petitioner, ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS

KAMALA HARRIS,

(Docket No. 10) Respondent.

Petitioner, a California prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2254 challenging state court convictions from 1997 and 2002. The claims pertaining to the conviction from 1997 were dismissed. See Lackawanna County Dist. Attorney v. Coss, 532 U.S. 394, 403-04 (2001). Respondent was ordered to show cause why the petition should not be granted with respect to the conviction from 2002. Respondent has filed a motion to dismiss the petition on the grounds that the instant petition is the second petition for a writ of habeas corpus challenging the same conviction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2254. His prior petition, like the instant petition, challenged a conviction obtained in San Mateo County Superior Court in 2002. The prior petition, Jackson v. Kirkland, No. 05-4889 MHP (PR), was denied on its merits in 2008. Thereafter, the United States Court of Appeals denied a certificate of appealability and the United States Supreme Court denied a petition for a writ of certiorari.

A second or successive petition may not be filed in a federal district court unless petitioner first obtains from the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit an order

Case 3:12-cv-00123-WHA Document 13 Filed 07/09/12 Page 2 of 2

authorizing this court to consider the petition. See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A). Petitioner has				
not sought or obtained such an order from the Ninth Circuit. Petitioner argues in his traverse				
that he is actually innocent and that there has been a miscarriage of justice. Petitioner may				
make such arguments in the Ninth Circuit when he seeks authorization to file a second or				
successive petition.				
Accordingly, this petition is DISMISSED without prejudice to refiling if petitioner obtains				
the necessary order from the Ninth Circuit.				
The clerk shall enter judgment and close the file.				

Dated: July ____9__, 2012.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE